

Annual Environmental Report

2021



Newmarket on Fergus

D0079-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2021 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY - NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP -
 - 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 DRINKING WATER ABSTRACTION POINT RISK ASSESSMENT
- 5.2 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

7 APPENDIX

- 7.1 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2021 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0079-01, Newmarket on Fergus, in Clare in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

- NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 5000, the treatment type is 3P - Tertiary P removal

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF0300D0079SW001	NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP	Treated	Compliant	N/A

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING

Assessment / Report
There are no Licence Specific Reports included in this AER.

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
Total Nitrogen mg/l	12	55	22
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	12	6.22	2.11
COD-Cr mg/l	12	386	210
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	12	182	75
Suspended Solids mg/l	12	220	96
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	2636	1296

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater treatment plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF0300D0079SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	29	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	35	87.5	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	9.86	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	25	50	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	4.67	Pass
pH units	9.00	9.00	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	7.76	Pass
Faecal coliforms no./100mls	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	43645	
E. Coli MPN/100ml	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	35624	
Enterococci (Intestinal) MPN/100ml	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	5705	
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.533	
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.343	

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Total Nitrogen mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	11	
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	2.99	

Notes:

1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

2 – For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 - 9

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not applicable

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF0300D0079SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Ecological Status
Upstream	139716, 167769	RS27B670550	No	No	No	No	Unassigned
Downstream	137843, 167079	RS27B670560	No	No	No	No	Unassigned

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary**

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
cBOD	22031	1367	94
COD	61617	8601	86
TN	6550	3082	53

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
SS	28203	2886	90
TP	620	156	75

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m ³ /day) - As Constructed	3300
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	1100
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m ³ /day)	2636
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	1296
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	5000
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}	1608
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	3392
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - NEWMARKET ON FERGUS WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature related to the discharge(s) to water from the WWTP and network is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2021.			

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Abatement Equipment offline	Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP	1	No	No
Spillage	Adverse Weather	1	No	Yes

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2021	2
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2021	2
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable	Irish Grid Ref. (outfall)	Included in Schedule of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2021 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2021 (m3)	Monitoring Status
TBC	139446, 167946	No	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
SW002	139446, 167946	Yes	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Monitored

Any TBC SWO(s) were identified as part of the on-going National SWO programme and will be updated in subsequent AER(s) once the information is confirmed.

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0079-SIP:01	All existing discharges from the Newmarket on Fergus agglomeration shall cease and an alternative discharge location is to be provided in accordance with Condition 3.7 as soon as practicable and no later than 31/12/2019	C	31/12/2019	Yes	At Planning Stage	2025	
D0079-SIP:02	SW001 Primary Discharge Point to be discontinued by 31/12/2019	C	31/12/2019	Yes	At Planning Stage	2025	
D0079-SIP:03	SW002 Storm Water Overflow to be discontinued by 31/12/2019	C	31/12/2019	Yes	At Planning Stage	2023	

A summary of the status of any other improvements identified by under Condition 5 assessments- is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
No additional improvements planned at this time.				

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	Yes		No
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2016	No

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Has a Technical amendment/licence review application been submitted to the Agency by IW?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	Yes
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	Relocation of discharge point
Have these processes commenced?	No
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 13/05/2022

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix
Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Receiving Waters Designation (Y/N)				
			Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	Status
Mill Stream entering Lough Gash Gash Input Stream (U/S of NMF WWTP)	139514; 167993	RS27B670558	No	No	No	No	Unknown
Treannahow Stream (D/S of NMF WWTP)	137842; 167079	R27B670560	No	No	No	No	Unknown

Parameter Name	Upstream Monitoring Point Location	Upstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	Downstream Monitoring Point Location	Downstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	EQS	%EQS
BOD mg/l	RS27B670558	<2	R27B670560	<2	1.5	N/A
Ortho-Phosphate (as P) mg/l	RS27B670558	0.02	R27B670560	0.03	0.035	13.33%
Ammonia (as N) mg/l	RS27B670558	0.04	R27B670560	0.04	0.065	0.00%
Dissolved Oxygen (% SAT)	RS27B670558	77.63	R27B670560	68.72		
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	RS27B670558	7.96	R27B670560	7.11		
Temperature (oC)	RS27B670558	13.95	R27B670560	13.68		
Total Nitrogen (mg/l)	RS27B670558	0.91	R27B670560	0.9		
pH (pH units)	RS27B670558	7.71	R27B670560	7.76		
Total Phosphorus (mg/l)	RS27B670558	0.07	R27B670560	0.14		
COD (mg/l)	RS27B670558	23	R27B670560	22		
E.Coli	RS27B670558	1470	R27B670560	436		
Enterococci	RS27B670558	229	R27B670560	109		
Faecal Coliforms	RS27B670558	2685	R27B670560	1116		